UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,926	01/20/2006	Cornelis Hermanus Van Berkel	NL 030870	9399
65913 <b>NXP, B.V</b> .	7590 04/30/200	EXAMINER		
	ECTUAL PROPERTY	DO, CHAT C		
1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/565,926	VAN BERKEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chat C. Do	2193		

	Chat G. Bo	2100
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exi under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection filed after a filed	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a of NOTE: <u>See below</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33)		ected claims.
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		i be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See below.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
<ul><li>12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>attached</u>	<u>d herein</u>
	/Chat C. Do/	
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2193

Part 3(a): the applicant amended by inserting/adding the new limitation "a vector processor, wherein the vector processor comprises:". However, this limitation raises new issue that would require further consideration and/or search prior making decision.

Part 11: the applicant argues in page 6 for claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 that the amendment would place the claims 1-10 statutory since it is a machine with vector processor.

The examiner respectfully submits that the claims might not be statutory even if the claims are entered since just a vector processor itself would not provide sufficient structure to place the device claims into the statutory category.

The applicant argues in pages 8-12 that the cited reference does not disclose the weighted sum units.

The examiner respectfully submits that the claims do not provide any explicit definition that is distinct from the cited reference by Erdogan. As fully addressed in the previous Office Action, the weighted sum units are clearly seen in the cited reference as the units that performs summing/adding all the weighted/scaled input data to genearte the intermediate code vector and the input data is the basic-code vectors.